

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	Executive Summary-1
Part One Overview.....	Part One Overview-1
1. The Case for Change.....	1-1
1.1 Recent Setbacks in EW Acquisition	1-1
1.1.1 Inability to Quantify Military Worth.....	1-4
1.1.2 Lost Opportunities.....	1-5
1.1.3 External Factors	1-6
1.1.4 Reasons for Hope.....	1-6
1.2 Our Opportunity for Change.....	1-7
1.2.1 The DoD 5000 Documents	1-8
1.2.2 The SAF/AQ Lightning Bolt Initiatives.....	1-8
1.3 The Partnership as the Leader of Reform	1-9
2. The Partnership Approach	2-1
2.1 An Overview of the Partnership Process	2-1
2.1.1 Initial Efforts and History	2-1
2.1.2 Mission Statement of the Partnership Process.....	2-2
2.1.3 New Relationship Between Government and Industry	2-3
2.2 The Partnership Process Method	2-5
2.2.1 Understanding IPT Missions and Goals.....	2-5
2.2.2 Using Outside Experts.....	2-8
2.2.3 Communicating Results	2-9
2.2.4 Understanding the Organizational Systems Design Method.....	2-11

- 2.3 The Partnership Process and Related Reforms 2-11
 - 2.3.1 The Partnership Approach and DoD 5000 ... 2-12
 - 2.3.2 Lightning Bolt Initiatives..... 2-14
- 2.4 New Roles and Responsibilities for Participants in Electronic Warfare Acquisition..... 2-15
 - 2.4.1 Core Competencies of Government and Industry 2-16
 - 2.4.2 Other Breakthrough Role Changes 2-16
- 3. Results and Next Steps..... 3-1
 - 3.1 Expected Results of the Partnership Process..... 3-1
 - 3.1.1 Expected Results for the Warfighter 3-2
 - 3.1.2 Expected Results for Program Managers 3-2
 - 3.1.3 Expected Results for Testers and Evaluators..... 3-2
 - 3.1.4 Expected Results for Industry 3-3
 - 3.2 Key Tenets of the Partnership Process..... 3-3
 - 3.3 Resolutions of the Partnership Process 3-4
 - 3.3.1 We Will Listen to the Voice of the Warfighter 3-5
 - 3.3.2 We Will Work Better, Faster, Cheaper 3-5
 - 3.3.3 We Will Operate Through Trust and Communication 3-5
 - 3.3.4 We Will Work Within Our Core Competencies..... 3-6
 - 3.4 Breakthrough Ideas..... 3-7
 - 3.4.1 Accredite the Requirements Development Field 3-7
 - 3.4.2 Create a Standardized, Freely Available Threat Scenario Base 3-7
 - 3.4.3 Create a Central Gateway for Access to Threat System Data..... 3-7
 - 3.4.4 Develop Military Worth Method and Supporting Tool Set..... 3-8

3.4.5	Form Integrated Concept and Acquisition Teams	3-8
3.4.6	Ensure Funding Support for Each Approved Mission Needs Statement.....	3-8
3.4.7	Institute Electronic Warfare Center of Excellence for Analysis.....	3-8
3.4.8	Involve Industry in Foreign Materiel Exploitation.....	3-9
3.4.9	Shift Early Logistics Planning to Industry	3-9
3.4.10	Redefine Operational Requirements Documents Preparation and Approval Process.....	3-9
3.4.11	Implement the Prototype System Program Office.....	3-9
3.4.12	Develop a Comprehensive Plan for Analysis.....	3-10
3.4.13	Develop Methods to Determine “Best Value”	3-10
3.4.14	Use Military Worth to Create RFPs and Validate Proposals.....	3-10
3.4.15	Provide Multi-Year Funding for Production.....	3-10
3.4.16	Implement Breakthrough Concepts.....	3-10
3.5	Implementation of the New Design.....	3-11
3.5.1	Setting Ambitious Goals.....	3-12
3.5.2	Extending the Scope of the Partnership.....	3-13
3.6	The Future of the Partnership Process.....	3-13
4.	Quantify Military Worth	4-1
4.1	The Case for Quantifying Military Worth.....	4-1
4.1.1	Quantifying Military Worth to Achieve Better Solutions.....	4-2
4.1.2	Conceptualizing Military Worth.....	4-3
4.1.3	Measuring Warfighter Needs	4-5

4.1.4	Measuring the Positive Contribution of EW Systems.....	4-7
4.2	Understanding the Military Worth Framework	4-8
4.2.1	Responding to the Voice of the Warfighter	4-10
4.2.2	Stating Military Worth in Warfighter Terms	4-11
4.3	Conceptualizing Warfighter Needs Geometrically	4-12
4.3.1	AFSAA’s New Look at the Military Worth Question	4-13
4.3.2	The Military Worth Method	4-14
4.3.3	The Role of Low-Kill Offsets and P _k Grids.....	4-19
4.4	Using the Military Worth Method to Quantify Warfighter Needs.....	4-28
4.4.1	Gather Mission Data	4-28
4.4.2	Create a Mission Success Table.....	4-29
4.4.3	Create a Table of All Solutions.....	4-32
4.4.4	Create a Targets at Risk Trade Space Table	4-33
4.4.5	Analyze the Mission Data.....	4-34
4.5	Using the Common Tools and Measures That the Military Worth Method Requires.....	4-35
4.5.1	Producing High-Fidelity Results by Combining Warfighter Input with Existing Tools.....	4-35
4.5.2	Improving Current Tools with the Military Worth Method	4-37
4.5.3	Performing Quick-Turn Analyses	4-38
4.5.4	Providing Feedback to Help Improve Existing Threat Models	4-39
4.6	Assessing the Military Worth of Different Missions and Platforms	4-39
4.7	Making Military Worth Assessments Throughout the Acquisition Development Cycle.....	4-40

4.8	The Future of Military Worth	4-41
4.8.1	Filling in Gaps in the Current Method	4-41
4.8.2	Improving the Operational Capability Measure	4-45
4.8.3	Defining a Measure to More Fully Capture the Voice of the Warfighter	4-46
4.8.4	Addressing Suitability	4-47
4.8.5	The Future of Military Worth: A Summary	4-48
Part Two Overview		Part Two Overview-1
Mapping the Activity Chapters Onto the DoD 5000 Process		Part Two Overview-1
Understanding How Acquisition Tasks Change by Phase		Part Two Overview-2
5.	Quantify Mission Deficiencies.....	5-1
5.1	Understanding the New Process	5-1
5.2	Understanding the Key Insights and Redesign Ideas... ..	5-5
5.2.1	Involve the Warfighter in Identifying Deficiencies	5-5
5.2.2	Increase Industry Involvement	5-7
5.2.3	Create an Integrated Acquisition Team	5-8
5.2.4	Perform Geometrically Based Mission Effectiveness/Mission Assessment	5-10
5.2.5	Introduce the Military Worth Method Into Acquisitions.....	5-10
5.2.6	Use Standard Threat Scenarios	5-11
5.2.7	Create a Consolidated Library of Information on Threat Systems	5-12
5.2.8	Accredit the Requirements Development Field.....	5-14
5.2.9	Apply Funds for Concept Exploration on Approval of the MNS	5-16

5.3	The Step-by-Step Process	5-17
5.3.1	Obtain Threat Scenarios for Modeling.....	5-18
5.3.2	Model Missions and Analyze the Data.....	5-28
5.3.3	Include Appropriate Information in the MNS	5-37
6.	Establish the Requirements.....	6-1
6.1	Understanding the New Process.....	6-1
6.1.1	Exploring Concepts That Address the Deficiency	6-1
6.2	Understanding the Key Insights and Redesign Ideas ...	6-4
6.2.1	Employ the Electronic Warfare Center of Excellence for Analysis	6-4
6.2.2	Shift Early Logistics Planning to Industry.....	6-6
6.2.3	Involve Industry in Foreign Materiel Exploitation	6-7
6.2.4	Revise the ORD Preparation and Approval Process	6-8
6.2.5	Develop a Comprehensive Plan for Analysis	6-10
6.3	The Step-by-Step Process	6-10
6.3.1	Beginning with the MNS.....	6-11
6.3.2	Distributing the RFI	6-12
6.3.3	Conveying the Requirements.....	6-15
6.3.4	Selecting the Source.....	6-17
6.3.5	Developing the Solution.....	6-18
6.3.6	Evaluating the Results and Setting the Requirement.....	6-19
7.	Convey the Requirements.....	7-1
7.1	Understanding the New Process.....	7-1
7.1.1	Creating a Partnership to Convey Requirements	7-1

7.1.2	Providing Open Access to Information	7-2
7.1.3	Understanding Conveying Requirements by DoD 5000 Phase.....	7-4
7.2	Understanding the Key Concepts	7-6
7.2.1	Implement Prototype System Program Office.....	7-6
7.2.2	Employ Methods to Reduce Source Selection Time.....	7-7
7.2.3	Develop Methods to Attain Best Solution.....	7-8
7.2.4	Ensure Fair Consideration of Commodity Solutions	7-10
7.2.5	Improve Strategies to Address Integration Issues	7-12
7.3	The Step-by-Step Process.....	7-13
7.3.1	Releasing the Draft RFP	7-13
7.3.2	Creating an RFP Based on Military Worth ...	7-16
7.3.3	Encouraging Government/Industry Interchange	7-16
7.3.4	Releasing the Final RFP	7-17
8.	Select the Source.....	8-1
8.1	Understanding the New Process	8-1
8.1.1	Finding the Best Solution.....	8-1
8.1.2	Using Objective Information in Source Selection	8-2
8.1.3	Understanding Source Selection by DoD 5000 Phase	8-3
8.2	Understanding the Key Insights and Redesign Ideas...	8-4
8.2.1	Use RFPs and Proposals to Validate Military Worth.....	8-4
8.2.2	Use Methods to Determine Best Value	8-5
8.2.3	Communicate Information to Government Using a Digital System Model.....	8-5

8.3	The Step-by-Step Process	8-9
8.3.1	Beginning with the RFP	8-9
8.3.2	Submitting Proposals	8-9
8.3.3	Evaluating Proposals for Military Worth	8-12
8.3.4	Selecting the Source.....	8-15
9.	Develop the Solution	9-1
9.1	Understanding the New Process	9-1
9.1.1	Converging on the Optimal Solution	9-2
9.1.2	Understanding Solution Development by DoD 5000 Phase	9-3
9.2	Understanding the Key Insights and Redesign Ideas ...	9-4
9.2.1	Use the Acquisition ICT/IPT.....	9-4
9.2.2	Apply the Common Modeling and Simulation Toolset and Update as Better Data Become Available	9-5
9.2.3	Make Evaluations and Trades Based on the Concept of the Requirements Space	9-5
9.2.4	Implement the Contractor’s Insight Plan.....	9-6
9.3	The Step-by-Step Process	9-6
9.3.1	Performing Key Activities During System Development.....	9-7
9.3.2	Exploring and Focusing the Requirements Space.....	9-10
9.3.3	Using Insight Rather Than Oversight.....	9-14
9.3.4	Instituting EW Best Practices.....	9-20
9.3.5	Accepting Responsibility for Total System Effectiveness.....	9-21
9.3.6	Testing to Inform	9-21

- 10. Evaluate the Result.....10-1
 - 10.1 Understanding the Military Worth Assessment Process.....10-1
 - 10.1.1 Evaluating to Inform.....10-1
 - 10.1.2 Evaluating Results and the DoD 5000 Phases.....10-3
 - 10.2 Understanding the Key Insights and Redesign Ideas10-4
 - 10.2.1 Military Worth Quantified and Communicated10-4
 - 10.2.2 Develop Greater Modeling and Simulation Capabilities.....10-8
 - 10.3 The Step-by-Step Process.....10-10
 - 10.3.1 Determine Test Objectives.....10-11
 - 10.3.2 Conduct Pre-Test Analysis10-13
 - 10.3.3 Test.....10-14
 - 10.3.4 Evaluate10-18
 - 10.3.5 Determine Whether the System Presents an Acceptable Risk10-18
 - 10.3.6 Provide Feedback to Improve the System or Test Process.....10-19
 - 10.3.7 Deliver a Quality, Low-Risk System10-21

- Index..... Index-1

